

United States Patent and Trademark Office

nited dress:	D STATES DEPARTMENT OF States Patent and Trademark (COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT) P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov	Office

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,404	03/31/2004	Samuel Achilefu	MRD / 54DV	5388	
David E. Jeffer	7590 02/22/200 ies	EXAMINER			
•	& Evans, L.L.P.	PERREIRA, MELISSA JEAN			
2700 Carew Tower 441 Vine Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cincinnati, OH 45202-2917			1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/814,404	ACHILEFU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Melissa Perreira	1618	

	Melissa Perreira	1618						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 08 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a ring replies: (1) an amendment, affi ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0031160					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	•					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Alaan ah	ent concelled the					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,17,19 and 23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4-14 and 16</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence i	s necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:								

The amendment to the claims eliminates the limitation that is embodied by the prior art and would require a new search and consideration as it alters the embodiments of the instant claims.

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER